




Galaxy formation and evolution – the view from GAEA

Gabriella De Lucia

INAF – Astronomical Observatory of Trieste


The framework

An era of 'precision cosmology'



And an era of multi-wavelength, multi-epoch, high resolution, spatially resolved data. More is behind the corner...

Galaxy formation



A subject of great complexity involving physical processes that cover ~ 23 orders of magnitude in physical size and ~ 4 orders of magnitudes in time-scales.


Physical processes are entangled in a complex network of actions, back-reactions and self-regulations.

Galaxies are not only interesting in their own right, but also play a crucial role in cosmological studies: virtually all cosmological probes use baryons as tracers.


Halo occupation distribution approach

Bypasses explicit modeling of physical processes, and provides a statistical characterization of the link between DM and galaxies

$P(N|M)$ + spatial distribution




Berlind et al., 2002



Assumption: galaxy content of a halo is statistically independent of its larger environment (depends only on halo mass).

Assembly bias



Halo properties (e.g. spin, concentration, shape) depend on the environment: haloes in over-dense regions form earlier and merge more rapidly than haloes in regions with average density.




At face value, these results invalidate the assumption that the galaxy content of a halo depends only on its mass (basic for HOD approach).

Gao et al. 2005

Hydrodynamical simulations

Provide an explicit description of gas dynamics. Still limited, however, by relatively low mass and spatial resolution (cosmological simulations), require significant computational time, and need to resort to “sub-grid” physics to account for many (all?) physical processes.


Roediger & Brueggen 2007






**Courtesy:
Volker Springel**

Semi-analytic models

Rely on simple, yet physically and/or theoretically motivated prescriptions to model the evolution of the baryons. Coupled to dark matter simulations that are used to specify the location and evolution of dark matter haloes. Limited computational times. But no explicit description of the gas dynamics.




De Lucia, Kauffmann & White, 2004



Kauffmann et al., 1999


Pro and con of the SAM approach

- ☒ follows the evolution of DM in its full geometrical complexity
- ☒ follows explicitly the assembly history of each halo
- ☒ provides full dynamical information about model galaxies (catalogues including physical properties + redshifts + positions on the sky)
- ☐ no explicit description of the gas dynamics and no internal “spatial” resolution
- ☐ limited mass resolution (this also translates in a “history resolution”)







Courtesy of Jérémy Blaizot

The output of a semi-analytic model



De Lucia & Blaizot 2007

State-of-the-art ~15 years ago




First large database made publicly available

Overall, quite good agreement with the observed local Universe

Very rapid and positive reaction from the entire astronomical community (large number of papers published based on Millennium DB)

Springel et al. 2005

Over-quenching of satellite galaxies



Weinmann et al. 2006

The fraction of blue (satellite) galaxies in the models is below the observational data, more so in low-mass haloes.


This problem is shared by all models published in those years (see e.g. Fontanot et al. 2009).

Is this due to an over-simplified treatment of the “strangulation”?

Better but still not satisfactory

Modified treatment of satellites (a more gradual stripping of the hot gas) improves the agreement with data.

Most models (including simulations) however, still over-predict the fraction of quiescent satellites with the problem becoming worse for low stellar masses.



Guo et al. 2016


GALFORM (Instant.)

GALFORM (Grad.)


EAGLE

L-GALS

Hirschmann et al. 2014



An excess of low-mass galaxies





Number density of low-mass galaxies predicted by different models (and hydro-sims) with different implementations of stellar feedback is larger than observational estimates at $z > 0$ ($z=0$ point is often “fine-tuned”).

In this mass range, the mass growth of galaxies follow the growth of their parent haloes closely. A mechanism is required (Feedback? But not as currently modeled) to break this parallelism.

Weinmann et al. 2012

Stripping (disruption) and merging times





Stellar stripping starts only after dark matter has been significantly reduced; depends strongly on the orbit of the satellite (unsurprising) and on presence of other galaxies (often neglected).

Villalobos et al. 2012, 2014

Diffuse stellar component

A merger channel plus tidal stripping of stars associated with satellites. Qualitative agreement with observational data.

Contini et al. 2014





Only a moderate influence on the stellar mass/abundance of intermediate to low mass galaxies that are still over-abundant with respect to data..

The development of GAEA

Two “fundamental” problems with satellites (wrong properties, wrong number densities). No “easy” solution with modifying the treatment of satellites. The overall treatment of star formation-feedback cycle can (and need) to be improved.

- ✓ Models still assume an instantaneous recycling approximation ...
- ✓ Gas is treated as a single phase component ...
- ✓ Both these limitations can influence significantly the behaviour of star formation and stellar feedback.

Non-instantaneous approximation



Instead of storing information about the past star formation history, we 'project' the metals (and the gas and the energy) in the future.





Important consequences on the predicted star formation histories of galaxies (plus the chemical yield is no longer a free parameter)

De Lucia et al. 2014

Metals in stars and gas

GAEA reproduces the observed mass-metallicity relations at $z=0$ and predicts an evolution of these as a function of z (qualitative agreement with data)


Results are robust against modifications of the chemical parameters (elemental yields, DTD of SNIa, IMF, etc.)



Hirschmann, De Lucia & Fontanot 2016


A different feedback scheme

*Hirschmann, De Lucia & Fontanot 2016



* Available at : <https://apps.sciserver.org> (contact me!)

Out to the cosmic dawn




Our reference model reproduces nicely the measured galaxy mass function out to $z \sim 7$ and the cosmic SFR out to $z \sim 10$ (these data have NOT been used to 'tune' the model).

A decreasing (with increasing z) importance of dust attenuation is required to reproduce the measured UV luminosity functions

Fontanot et al. 2017

Molecular and atomic hydrogen




Different prescriptions to model the partition of the cold gas in its molecular and atomic components

Tracing of the angular momentum evolution and H_2 based star formation law


Our reference model is calibrated mainly on the HI and H_2 local mass functions.

Xie et al. 2017

Zoldan et al. 2017



Quenching time-scales



Modifications of “internal processes” can affect strongly the evolution of the satellite galaxies.

Old “fiducial” model gives very short quenching time-scales although it includes only “strangulation”.

No specific environmental process is needed other than strangulation (this is ok for at least the most massive galaxies)?


De Lucia et al. 2019

Why/how this works

In the reference GAEA, the quiescent fractions are in better agreement with data because:





- (i) Galaxies are generally more gas rich (and more star forming) at the time of accretion.
- (ii) rate at which gas is reheated and blown out is lower than in older scheme, so galaxies keep their star forming reservoir longer.

De Lucia et al. 2019






MZ relation secondary dependence

De Lucia et al. submitted



A secondary dependence is present both as a function of SFR and HI mass (the latter is stronger), in qualitative agreement with the latest observational measurements.

Scatter regulated by gas accretion




Clear and strong correlation between variations of the cold gas content and cold gas metallicity.

Late star formation (and related metal enrichment) primarily responsible for galaxies offset above the MZR

Offsets below the MZR explained by dilution due to late cooling

De Lucia et al. submitted

Gaseous content of centrals/satellites




Our model includes a partition of the cold gas in atomic and molecular gas.

Good agreement for HI and sizes but larger central-sat differences for H₂ and SFR. Established after accretion, and due to instantaneous stripping.

A proper treatment of ram-pressure stripping of cold gas and a more gradual stripping of hot reservoir are required.

Xie et al. 2018

Gaseous content of centrals/satellites



Our updated model includes:



- (i) A refined treatment for the angular momentum transfer;
- (ii) A treatment for the gradual stripping of hot gas;
- (iii) A treatment for ram-pressure stripping of cold gas.

**Xie et al. submitted
arXiv:2003.12757**

[α /Fe] – stellar mass

H12.0 = cooling suppressed
in haloes more massive than
 $\sim 10^{12} \text{ Msun}$

M10.5 SF suppressed in
galaxies more massive than
 $\sim 10^{10.5} \text{ Msun}$




In GAEA, AGN feedback (as implemented) is not “the solution” to get a positive slope for the alpha/Fe vs stellar mass relation (as suggested in other recent studies work).

De Lucia et al. 2017

A variable Initial Mass Function?

A variable IMF (e.g. the IGIMF theory by Kroupa and collaborators) helps (in our framework) to get a positive slope in the α/Fe versus mass relation.


The same model predicts stellar masses and M/L larger than those estimated from synthetic photometry using a universal IMF, in agreement with recent results.



Fontanot et al. 2017, 2018

Distribution of sSFR (colours)

Hirschmann, De Lucia & Fontanot 2016



Massive galaxies are too active; bimodality not well pronounced. Simple modifications of AGN feedback do not improve the situation (Fontanot et al., arXiv:2002.10576)

Final remarks

- ✓ Semi-analytic models represent arguably the most efficient method to build statistical predictions for galaxy populations at different cosmic epochs, and to efficiently test the influence of different physical processes.
- ✓ Only recently, models have overcome ~10-years old problems. Somewhat surprisingly, the best solution is not in a better treatment of satellite galaxies. It remains to be shown that new proposed feedback schemes are realistic.
- ✓ GAEA is a state-of-the-art semi-analytic model that reproduces nicely a large number of observations. Work is ongoing to test our more recent developments (gas partition, IMF variations, etc.). Model predictions are public (contact us in you want to use them!)
- ✓ Some problems remain - colour/SFR bimodality is a difficult one. This does not appear to be solvable with simple modifications of the AGN feedback model used (lack of 'quasar mode' and associated winds).